REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

This case has been carefully reviewed and analyzed in view of the Office Action

dated 20 September 2004. Responsive to the rejections made by the Examiner in the

Official Action, Claims 1 and 2 have been amended to clarify the language thereof.

Upon review of the specification of the subject Patent Application, a typographical

error was found. The Specification has now been amended to correct this typographical

error. The amendment incorporated in the Specification is purely formal in nature and

therefore introduces no new matter.

In the Official Action, the Examiner rejected Claims 1 and 2 under 35 U.S.C. §

112, second paragraph as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and

distinctly claim the subject matter which the applicant regards as the invention. The

Examiner gave several examples of indefinite language. However, the Examiner kindly

indicated that the Claims would be allowable if the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112 was

overcome.

Claims 1 and 2 have been amended to remove each of the informalities noted by

the Examiner. It is believed, therefore, that the Claims are now in allowable form.

Page 8 of 9

MR957-1381 Application Serial No. 10/647,317 Responsive to Office Action dated 20 September 2004

It is now believed that the subject Patent Application has been placed in condition for allowance, and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

FOR: ROSENBERG KLEIN & LEE

David J. Klein

David I. Klein

Registration #33,253

Dated: /2/6/2004

Rosenberg, Klein & Lee 3458 Ellicott Center Drive Suite 101 Ellicott City, MD 21043 (410) 465-6678

Customer No. 04586